



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,283	06/27/2003	Douglas M. VanDeRiet	3591-1323	8536
75	90 06/15/2004		EXAM	INER
Richard E. Sta			EDELL, J	OSEPH F
BRINKS HOFE P.O. BOX 1039	ER GILSON & LIONE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	-		3636	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/608,283	VANDERIET ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Joseph F Edell	3636		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the meter patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 June 2003.			
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 35-42 is/are pending in the applica	ation.			
,-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 35-37 and 42 is/are rejected.				
	Olatina/a) 00 44 ta/ana abtachad ta				
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>38-41</u> is/are objected to.				
7)⊠ 8)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d/or election requirement.			
8)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d/or election requirement.			
8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an				
8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an ion Papers	niner.	ed to by the Examiner.		
8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner. : a)⊠ accepted or b)⊟ objecte			
8)□ Applicat 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core	niner. : a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)		
8)□ Applicat 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the second	niner. : a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)		
8) □ Applicat 9) □ 10) ⊠	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core	niner. : a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)		
8) □ Applicat 9) □ 10) ☑ 11) □ Priority 12) □	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/are. Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	niner. : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s) be Examiner. Note the attached Considered priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 ents have been received.	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) Office Action or form PTO-152. 19(a)-(d) or (f).		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/24/03</u>.

2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/608,283 Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the amendment to the specification filed 27 June 2003 claims priority to provisional applications 60/206,204, 60/206,205, and 60/206,457. However, the Declaration filed 27 June 2003 claims priority only to provisional application 60/206,225.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 42, "an axle" is recited in line 2 and "said axle" is recited line 5. It is unclear whether the two limitations define the same structural element or define separate structural elements. How can the axle pivotally attached to the first member and the second member also be received in the slot of the third member?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,709,962 to Steinmann.

Steinmann discloses a chair that includes all the limitations recited in claims 35 and 36. Steinmann shows a chair having a main spring 78 (Fig. 6) biasing a back 13 (Fig. 1) from a reclined position to an upright position, and an assist spring 79 (Fig. 4) biasing the back from the reclined position to an intermediate position wherein the assist spring is a compression spring uncompressed from the upright position to the intermediate position and compressed from the intermediate position to the reclined position and the assist spring compresses between a non-moving face 112 (Fig. 4) and a moving face 109 (Fig. 4) that correspondingly moves as the main spring moves.

6. Claims 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,224,758 to Takamatsu et al.

Takamatsu et al. disclose a chair that includes all the limitations recited in claims 35 and 36. Takamatsu et al. show a chair having a main spring 36'c (Fig. 21) biasing a back B (Fig. 21) from a reclined position to an upright position, and an assist spring 16 (Fig. 21) biasing the back from the reclined position to an intermediate position wherein the assist spring is a compression spring uncompressed from the upright position to the intermediate position and compressed from the intermediate position to the reclined position and the assist spring compresses between a non-moving face 81" (Fig. 24) and a moving face 81' (Fig. 24) that correspondingly moves as the main spring moves.

Art Unit: 3636

7. Claims 35-37 and 42, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,249,839 to Faiks et al.

Faiks et al. disclose a chair that includes all the limitations recited in claims 35-37 and 42, as best understood. Faiks et al. show a chair having a main spring 82 (Fig. 6) biasing a back (Fig. 1) from a reclined position to an upright position, an assist spring 128 (Fig. 6) biasing the back from the reclined position to an intermediate position, a non-moving face (Fig. 9) defining a back face of a pocket formed into a base 62 (Fig. 9), a moving face (Fig. 9) defining a bearing guide slidably received in the pocket, a first member 18,76 (Fig. 6) attached to the back, a second member 110 (Fig. 6) pivotally attached to the first member, and a third member 62,84 (Fig. 6) with a slot (Fig. 9) and pivotally attached to the first member while pivotally and slidably attached to the second member, and an axle 124 (Fig. 9) being received in the slot of the third member wherein the assist spring is a compression spring uncompressed from the upright position to the intermediate position and compressed from the intermediate position to the reclined position.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 38-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3636

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to chairs:

U.S. Pat. No. 5,150,948 to Völke

U.S. Pat. No. 5,160,184 to Faiks et al.

U.S. Publ. No. 2003/0107251 A1 to Wu U.S. Pat. No. 6,644,749 B2 to VanDeRiet et al.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Edell whose telephone number is (703) 605-1216. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30am-5:00pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

2168

June 4, 2004

Supervisory Patent Examiner **Technology Center 3600**